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Abstract—Induction Motors have many applications in the industries, because of the low maintenance and robustness. The speed control of induction motor is more important to achieve maximum torque and efficiency. This paper presents an integrated environment for speed control of vector controlled Induction Motor (IM) including simulation. The integrated environment allows users to compare simulation results between classical and artificial intelligent controllers. In recent years, the field oriented control of induction motor drive is widely used in high performance drive system. It is due to its unique characteristics like high efficiency, good power factor and extremely rugged nature of Induction motor. The fuzzy logic controller and artificial neural network controllers are also introduced to the system for keeping the motor speed to be constant when the load varies. The speed control scheme of vector controlled induction motor drive involves decoupling of the speed and ref speed into torque and flux producing components. The performance of fuzzy logic and artificial neural network based controller’s is compared with that of the conventional proportional integral controller. The dynamic modeling of Induction motor is done and the performance of the Induction motor drive has been analyzed for constant and variable loads.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Induction Motors (IMs) have been used as the workhorse in industry for a long time due to their easy build, high robustness, and generally satisfactory efficiency [1]. The vector control technique, which is developed upon the field orientation principle proposed by Haase in 1968 and Blaschke in 1970, decouples the flux and torque control in an IM [2]. Thus, it makes the control task of IM drives similar to a separately excited dc motor while maintaining the general advantages of ac over dc motors and, hence, suitable for high-performance variable-speed drive applications debated for a long time, and will possibly be debated for ever. However, there is no denying the fact that computers can have adequate intelligence to help solving our problems that are difficult to solve by traditional methods. Therefore, it is true that AI techniques are now being extensively used in industrial process control, image processing, diagnostics, medicine, space technology, and information management system, just to name a few. While Expert Systems (ES) and FL are rule-based with the advent of recent power semiconductor technologies and various intelligent control algorithms, an effective control method based on vector control technology can be fully implemented in real-time application. Because of these facilities, nowadays, vector-control based high-performance IM drives have occupied most of the positions that were previously stationed by dc motor drives [2].

In recent years, scientists and researchers have acquired significant development on various sorts of control theories and methods. Among these control technologies, intelligent control methods, which are generally regarded as the aggregation of fuzzy logic control, neural network control, genetic algorithm, and expert system, have exhibited particular superiorities. Artificial Intelligent Controller (AIC) could be the best controller for Induction Motor control. Over the last two decades researchers have been working to apply AIC for induction motor drives [1-6]. This is because that AIC possesses advantages as compared to the conventional PI, PID and their adaptive versions. Mostly, it is often difficult to develop an accurate system mathematical model since the unknown and unavoidable parameter variations, and unknown load variation due to disturbances, saturation and variation temperature. High accuracy is not usually imperative for most of the induction motor drive, however high performance IM drive applications, a desirable control performance in both transient and steady states must be provided even when the parameters and load of the motor varying during the operation. Controllers with fixed parameters cannot provide these requirements unless unrealistically high gains are used. Thus, the conventional constant gain controller used in the variable speed induction motor drives become poor when the uncertainties of the drive such as load disturbance, mechanical parameter variations and unmodelled dynamics in practical applications. Therefore control strategy must be adaptive and robust. As a result several control strategies have been developed for induction motor drives with in last two decades.

The Artificial Intelligence (AI) techniques, such as Expert System (ES), Fuzzy Logic (FL), Artificial Neural Network (ANN or NNW) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) have recently been applied widely in power electronics and motor drives. The goal of AI is to plant human or natural intelligence in a computer so that a computer can think intelligently like a human being. A system with embedded computational intelligence is often defined as an “intelligent system” that has “learning,” “self-organizing,” or “self-adapting” capability. Computational intelligence has been debated for a long time, and will possibly be debated forever. However, there is no deny on the fact...
that computers can have adequate intelligence to help solving our problems that are difficult to solve by traditional methods. Therefore, it is true that AI techniques are now being extensively used in industrial process control, image processing, diagnostics, medicine, space technology, and information management system, just to name a few. While Expert Systems (ES) and FL are rule-based, and tend to emulate the behavioral nature of human brain, the NNN is more generic in nature that tends to emulate the biological neural network directly. The history of NN goes back to 1940s, but its advancement was camouflaged by the glamorous evolution of modern-day digital computers. From the beginning of 1990s, the NN technology captivated the attention of a large segment of scientific community. Since then, the technology has been advancing rapidly and its applications are expanding in different areas. The GA theory (also known as evolutionary computation) was proposed in 1970s and it is based on principles of genetics (or Darwin’s survival of the fittest theory of evolution). Basically, it solves optimization problem by an evolutionary process resulting in a best (fittest) solution (survivor). Lofty Zadeh, the inventor of FL, defined ES as hard or precise computing and FL, NNW, and GA as soft or approximate computing [1-2].

This paper presents the speed control scheme of vector controlled induction motor drive involves decoupling of the speed and ref speed into torque and flux producing components. Fuzzy logic and artificial neural network based control scheme is simulated. The performance of fuzzy logic and artificial neural network based controllers’ is compared with that of the conventional proportional integral controller. The dynamic modeling of Induction motor is done and the performance of the Induction motor drive has been analyzed for constant and variable loads [3-4].

II. DYNAMIC SIMULATION OF INDUCTION MOTOR DRIVE

Dynamic behavior of induction motor can be expressed by voltage and torque which are time varying. The differential equations that belong to dynamic analysis of induction motor are so sophisticated. Then with the change of variables, the complexity of these equations can be decreased through movement from poly phase winding to two phase winding (q-d). In other words, the stator and rotor variables like voltage, current and flux linkages of an induction machine are transferred to another reference model which remains stationary. The dynamic model of an induction motor is developed by using equations given in Appendix A. The simulation model is constructed based on the equations as shown in Fig. 1.
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The motor drive has balanced 3-phase voltages as the input, and the abc currents as the outputs. The complete Simulink model of the vector controlled induction motor drive with flux controller, vector controller, PI controller and PWM inverter is shown in Fig. 2.

III. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENT CONTROLLER

Despite the great efforts devoted to induction motor control, many of the theoretical results cannot be directly applied to practical systems. The difficulties that arise in induction motor control are complex computations, model nonlinearity and uncertainties in machine parameters. Recently, intelligent techniques are introduced in order to overcome these difficulties. Intelligent control methodology uses human motivated techniques and procedures (for example, forms of knowledge representation or decision making) for system control. The definition of intelligent control from Astrom and McAvoy has been used widely: ‘An intelligent control system has the ability to comprehend, reason, and learn about processes, disturbances and operating conditions in order to optimize the performance of the process under consideration’. Intelligent control techniques are generally classified as expert system control, fuzzy-logic control, neural-network control and genetic algorithm. Intelligent induction motor control thus refers to the control of an induction motor drive using artificial intelligence techniques as shown in Fig. 3. Various artificial intelligent controllers are as follows:

(a) Fuzzy Logic Controller:

Fuzzy logic is a technique to embody human-like thinking into a control system. A fuzzy controller can be designed to emulate human deductive thinking, that is, the process people use to infer conclusions from what they know. Fuzzy control has been primarily applied to the control of processes through fuzzy linguistic descriptions.

A fuzzy controller is responsible to adjust the speed of induction motor. The operation principle of a FL controller is similar to a human operator. It performs the same actions as a human operator does by adjusting the input signal looking at only the system output. A FL based controller consists of three sections namely fuzzifier, rule base and defuzzifier. Converting crisp value to fuzzy can be done by several methods Triangular type membership functions are used here for partitioning the crisp universes into fuzzy subsets [5-6]. The proposed Simulink induction motor with fuzzy logic is shown in Fig.3.

(b) Artificial Neural Network (ANN):

Artificial neural networks are nonlinear information...
An Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is an information-processing paradigm that is inspired by the way biological nervous systems, such as the brain, process information does. The key element of this paradigm is the novel structure of the information processing system. It is composed of a large number of highly interconnected processing elements (neurons) working in union to solve specific problems. ANNs, like people, learn by example. An ANN is configured for a specific application, such as pattern recognition or data classification, through a learning process. Learning in biological systems involves adjustments to the synaptic connections that exist between the neurons. This is true of ANNs as well. ANN’s are a type of artificial intelligence that attempts to imitate the way a human brain works. Rather than using a digital model, in which all computations manipulate zeros and ones, a neural network works by creating connections between processing elements, the computer equivalent of neurons. The organization and weights of the connections determine the output. A neural network is a massively parallel-distributed processor that has a natural propensity for storing experimental knowledge and making it available for use. It resembles the brain in two respects: Knowledge is acquired by the network through a learning process, and Inter-neuron connection strengths known as synaptic weights which are used to store the knowledge. One of the most important features of Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) is their ability to learn and improve their operation using a neural network training data[7-8]. The basic element of an ANN is the neuron which has a summer and an activation function. The mathematical model of a neuron is given by:

\[ y = \varphi(\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \cdot x_i + b) \]

where \((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N)\) are the input signals of the neuron, \((w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_N)\) are their corresponding weights and \(b\) a bias parameter. \(\varphi\) is a tangent sigmoid function and \(y\) is the output signal of the neuron. The ANN can be trained by a learning algorithm which performs the adaptation of weights of the network iteratively until the error between target vectors and the output of the ANN is less than a predefined threshold. Nevertheless, it is possible that the learning algorithm did not produce any acceptable solution for all input–output association problems. The most popular supervised learning algorithm is back-propagation, which consists of a forward and backward action. In the forward step, the free parameters of the network are fixed, and the input signals are propagated throughout the network from the first layer to the last layer. In the forward phase, we compute a mean square error.

\[ E(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (d_i(k) - y_i(k))^2 \]

where \(d_i\) is the desired response, \(y_i\) is the actual output produced by the network in response to the input \(x_i\), \(k\) is the iteration number and \(N\) is the number of input-output training data. The second step of the backward phase, the error signal \(E(k)\) is propagated throughout the network in the backward direction in order to perform adjustments upon the free parameters of the network in order to decrease the error \(E(k)\) in a statistical sense. The weights associated with the output layer of the network are therefore updated using the following formula:

\[ w_{ji}(k+1) = w_{ji}(k) - \eta \frac{\partial E(k)}{\partial w_{ji}(k)} \]

where \(w_{ji}\) is the weight connecting the \(j^{th}\) neuron of the output layer to the \(i^{th}\) neuron of the previous layer, \(\eta\) is the constant learning rate. Large values of \(\eta\) may accelerate the ANN learning and consequently fast convergence but may cause oscillations in the network output, whereas low values will cause slow convergence. Therefore, the value of \(\eta\) has to be chosen carefully to avoid instability. The proposed neural network controller is shown in Fig. 4.
IV. PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENT CONTROLLER BASED INDUCTION MOTOR DRIVES

A complete simulation model for vector controlled induction motor drive incorporating PI, fuzzy logic controller and neural network controller is developed. Vector control of Induction motor drive with fuzzy controller is designed by proper adjustments of membership functions and neural network controller is designed by adjusting the weights in order to get simulated results. The performance of the artificial intelligent based induction motor drive is investigated at different operating conditions. In order to prove the superiority of the Neural Network controller, a comparison is made with the response of convention PI and FLC based induction motor drive. The parameters of the induction motor considered in this study are summarized in Appendix B. The performances of the vector controlled induction motor with all intelligent controllers are presented at constant load and variable load. The dynamic behaviors of the PI controller, with FLC controller and with Neural Network controller are shown and in Fig. 2, Fig.3 and Fig. 4 at constant load and variable load conditions.

At constant load conditions:
A drive with PI controller has a peak overshoot, but in case of fuzzy controller and neural network controller it is eliminated as shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. The PI controller is tuned at rated conditions in order to make a fair comparison. Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show the simulated starting performance of the drive with PI- and FLC-based drive systems, respectively. Although the PI controller is tuned to give an optimum response at this rated condition, the fuzzy controller yield better performances in terms of faster response time and lower starting current. It is worth mentioning here that the performance obtained by the proposed model is 13 times faster than the PI controller, i.e. it achieves the steady state 13 times faster than the PI controller. Also it is 2.1 times faster than that obtained earlier by using fuzzy controller.

At variable load conditions:
Drive with PI controller speed response has small peak at 0.4 sec, but in case of fuzzy controller and neural network speed response, it is quick and smooth response as shown in Fig. 7. Fig. 7 shows Speed, Torque, $I_{abc}$ characteristics with PI controller. Fig.7 gives the waveform of Speed, Torque, $I_{abc}$ characteristics with Fuzzy-logic controller.

Fig. 5c: Rotor speed with neural network controller

Fig. 6a: $I_d$ and $I_q$ currents of induction motor drive

Fig. 6b: Load torque of induction motor drive

Fig. 7 show the speed responses for step change in the load torque using the PI and fuzzy controller, respectively. The motor starts from standstill at load torque = 2 Nms and at $t$ =0.4s, a sudden full load of 15 Nms is applied to the system, then it is controlled by fuzzy controller. Since the time taken by the PI controlled system to achieve steady state is much higher than fuzzy controlled system, the step change in load torque is applied at $t$ = 1.25 sec. The motor speed follows its reference with zero steady-state error and a fast response using a fuzzy controller. On the other hand, the PI controller shows steady-state error with a high starting current. It is to be noted that the speed response is affected by the load conditions. This is the drawback of a PI controller with varying operating conditions. It is to be noted that the fuzzy controller gives better responses in terms of overshoot, steady-state error and fast response. These figures also show that the FLC-based drive system can handle the sudden increase in command speed quickly without overshoot, under-shoot, and steady-state error, whereas the PI-controller-based drive system has steady-state error and the response is not as fast as compared to the FLC. Thus, the proposed FLC-based drive has been...
found superior to the conventional PI-controller-based system.

Table II and III present the performance comparison during steady state operation, transient operation and in time domain analysis respectively.

It is concluded that the proposed FLC has shown superior performances over the PI controller and has its transient response 13 times faster than a simple P-I controlled system and also 2.1 times faster than earlier proposed system. Some of the advantages of neural controller are reduced number of rules, faster speed of operation and no need for modifications in membership function by conventional trial and error method for optimal response. This makes neural controller a easy-build and robust controller. The performances of the proposed neural controller based drive have been investigated at various operating conditions. A performance comparison between PI based drive, FLC based drive and the proposed neural controller based drive has been presented. The proposed neural controller based IM drive has been found to be robust for high performance drive application.

APPENDIX A

Dynamic Model of Induction Motor

The following used for dynamic modeling of induction motor

\[
v_{abc} = v_a + v_b e^{-j2\pi/3} + v_c e^{j2\pi/3}
\]

\[
\frac{2}{3}v_{abc}e^{-j\theta} = v_{qs} - jv_{ds}
\]

\[
v_{ds} = r_s i_{ds} + \frac{d}{dt}(L_di_{ds} + L_m i_{dr}) + L_m \frac{d}{dt} i_{ds} - \omega \varphi_{qs}
\]

\[
\varphi_{ds} = L_s i_{ds} + L_m i_{dr}
\]

\[
\varphi_{dr} = L_m i_{ds} + L_i i_{dr}
\]

\[
L_s = L_i + L_m
\]

\[
v_{ds} = r_s i_{ds} + \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{ds} - \omega \varphi_{qs}
\]

\[
\varphi_{ds} = \int (v_{ds} - r_s i_{ds} + \omega \varphi_{qs})
\]

\[
v_{dr} = r_s i_{dr} + \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_{dr} - (\omega - \omega_s) \varphi_{qr}
\]

\[
\varphi_{dr} = \int [v_{dr} - r_s i_{dr} + (\omega - \omega_s) \varphi_{qr}]
\]

\[
v_{qs} = r_s i_{qs} + \frac{d}{dt} [L_i i_{qs} + L_m i_{qr}] + \omega [L_i i_{ds} + L_m i_{dr}]
\]

\[
\varphi_{qs} = L_i i_{qs} + L_m i_{qr}
\]

\[
\varphi_{qr} = L_m i_{qs} + L_i i_{qr}
\]
\[ v_{qs} = r_i i_{qs} + \frac{d}{dt} \phi_{qs} + \omega \phi_{ds} \]  
\[ \phi_{qs} = \int (v_{qs} - r_i i_{qs} - \omega \phi_{qs}) \]  
\[ v_{dr} = r_i i_{dr} + \frac{d}{dt} \phi_{dr} + (\omega - \omega_r) \phi_{dr}' \]  
\[ \phi_{dr} = \int [v_{dr}' - r_i i_{dr}' - (\omega - \omega_r) \phi_{dr}'] \]  
\[ T_e = \frac{3}{2} \frac{P}{2} [\phi_{dr}' i_{qs} - \phi_{qs}' i_{ds}] \]  
\[ w_r = \frac{1}{J_s + B} \]  
\[ \theta_r = \int w_r \]  
\[ T_e = \frac{3}{2} \frac{P}{2} [\phi_{dr}' i_{qs}] \]  

Appendix B

The parameters of the induction motor are as follows:

- \( p = 4 \)  
- \( R_i = 2.2 \text{ Ohm} \)  
- \( R_s = 0.9 \text{ Ohm} \)  
- \( L_s = 10 \)  
- \( L_r = 2.0 \)  
- \( L_m = 69.3 \)  
- \( J_s = 50\text{Hz} \)  
- \( B = 0.012 \)  
- \( V_{dc} = 200V \)  
- Proportional gain = 2.0, Integral gain = 0.1240
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